

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/757,058	01/08/2001	John E. Brezak	MS1-679US	6566
22801 7	590 09/22/2006		EXAMINER	
LEE & HAYES PLLC 421 W RIVERSIDE AVENUE SUITE 500			TRAN, ELLEN C	
SPOKANE, W		O .	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2134	
			DATE MAILED: 09/22/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/757,058	BREZAK ET AL.				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ellen C. Tran	2134				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	iress			
THE REPLY FILED 08 September 2006 FAILS TO PLACE TH	IS APPLICATION IN CONDITION	FOR ALLOWANCE.				
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the followalces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods:</li> <li>The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b).</li> </ol>	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a ptice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The replete the final rejection. Its first forth in the salling date of the mailing date	ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 ( y must be filed within e final rejection, whichever the final rejection.	ence, which CFR 41.31; or n one of the er is later. In no			
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	).	RSI REPLT WAS FILE	D WITHIN TWO			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date						
of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))	), to avoid dismissal d	of the appeal.			
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>						
3.  The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below.	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);				
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).						
	• • •	omnliant Amendment	t (PTOL -324)			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).  5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).	•	, timely filed amendm	nent canceling			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ w vided below or appended.	ill be entered and an	explanation of			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-15,18-26 and 28-37</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> </ol>	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ails to provide a			
10.   The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	ched.			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

13. Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

See Continuation Sheet.

11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant amended indepdent claims 1, 8, 13, 18, 23, 24, 29, 32, and 34 to include the limitation "a mechanism by which a descritpion of the high-level credential is passed through a second operating system layer using an interface designed to output low-level credentials". Therefore a new search would be required because the amendment overcomes the prior art of record. The prior art of reference includes US Patent to Olden 6,460,014 US Patent to Stoltz 6,615,264 and US Patent King et al. 6,934,848 used for the Final rejection mailed 13 June 2006.

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: As indicated above, the applicant amended independent claims 1, 8, 13, 18, 23, 24, 29, 32, and 34 to include the limitation "a mechanism by which a description of the high-level credential is passed through a second operating system layer using an interface designed to output low-level credentials". Therefore a new search would be required because the amendment overcomes the prior art of record.

> NASSER MOAZZAMI PRIMARY EXAMINER

9,18,06